



## Government Computer News | GCN.com

Tuesday May 4, 2004 | Updated 4:32 PM EST May 4

[Current Issue](#) [Columnists](#) [Products Central](#) [Site Map](#) [Events](#) [Subscribe](#) [My Acco](#)

### Search GCN

[Advanced Search](#)



Quickfind | [Help](#)



03/22/04; Vol. 23 No. 6

### HomeLAN defense

By John Breeden II and Carlos Soto  
GCN Staff

#### Lab looks at antivirus Tools

You have been infected, or at least affected.

Anyone who has used a computer for any length of time knows about computer viruses. Perhaps one of them once ruined your files or randomly mailed an infected attachment to your friends. Maybe a self-replicating worm squirmed though your system looking for private information.

Even if you have been lucky enough to avoid direct contact with a virus, you probably know someone who was hit hard. Or you've watched news coverage of the latest global virus threats and felt a little less safe online.

Virus writers are basically terrorists. If they can't harm you directly, they'll do everything they can to make you afraid. Perhaps you feel wary of online buying and don't open attachments from anyone anymore. Let's hope there is a special circle of hell for these miscreants, where they have to perform entry-level phone technical support using infected computers.

#### The good news

On the bright side, antivirus programs have advanced to the point that there's little or no chance of infecting a computer properly updated with the latest profiles.

Heuristic scanning, standard in all antivirus applications these days, can catch even unknown viruses based on their characteristics. It's as if a cop walks down the street and sees a guy in a ski mask about to throw a brick through a window. The cop might not know the guy's history but can deduce that he's up to no good.

Each of the four antivirus programs in this review succeeded in blocking everything the GCN up with a stealth virus that slipped past at least one of the programs, but the protection level

The only reason viruses spread these days is that too many users don't have antivirus softw heuristics, current profiles are still the best defense.

Just because all the programs worked, however, doesn't mean we can't contrast them. To u

If an antivirus program's constant pop-up interruptions annoy you, or you must take your cor really any better than the marauders it guards against?

The four antivirus programs in this review are suitable for a traveler's notebook PC, a telewo field office lacking strong antivirus and firewall protection.

Besides the interface, scanning time is crucial because it equates to downtime. No other wo

Other valued factors are smooth installation and smooth behavior—protection without intrusi Pentium 4 PC with 512M of RAM. Panda Software Inc. has always tested well in our antiviru tried Panda Titanium Antivirus 2004.

Titanium altered the antivirus playing field. It had the fastest scanning engine, stayed mostly

**Hot Topics**

- [Biometrics](#)
- [Content Management](#)
- [Defense IT](#)
- [E-Government](#)
- [Homeland Security](#)
- [IT Infrastructure](#)
- [Mobile & Wireless](#)
- [New Products / Technology](#)
- [Outsourcing](#)
- [Policy / Regulation](#)
- [Procurement](#)
- [Section 508](#)
- [Security](#)
- [State & Local](#)
- [Storage](#)
- [Web](#)
- [Work force / Training](#)

**GCN Management**

- [Enterprise Architecture](#)
- [Executive Center](#)
- [Portfolio / Program / Project](#)
- [Current Management Edition](#)

**GCN in print**

[Government Computer News](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[GCN Management](#)

Sponsor message

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY

The new TransPort® X3000 notebook gives you Intel® Centrino™ mobile technology, high-end security features, and legacy support. It's an ideal wireless solution for the mobile professional.

➤ MORE INFORMATION  
➤ PLAY AGAIN

mpc™

Archives

[Print editions](#)  
[E-letters](#)

Online Resources

[Upcoming Forums](#)  
[Recent Forums](#)  
[Government Links](#)  
[Resource Centers](#)

GCN Events/Seminars

[GCN Events](#)  
[PostNewsweek Awards](#)

Sponsor Forums

[Upcoming](#)  
[Recent](#)

Technology Reports

[Reports from our](#)

It seemed slick right from the installation, which took just over a minute. An auto-update process must register the product and buy the updates on a yearly basis.

A full scan of all files on our test system took six minutes, 35 seconds—a full minute faster than the slowest.

The interface was easy to use. It offers a clearly defined selection of files to scan in case a user wants to scan.

One of the most helpful options is to scan only e-mail, the likeliest channel for infection. That's a handy feature for users.

We did find one annoying feature in Titanium. A pop-up window randomly activated to inform users of general virus news.

Unlike another product in this review, however, Titanium did not accompany this news with a link to us into buying more software.

Because Panda supplied a button to disable the pop-ups permanently, we did not reduce its effectiveness by viruses bouncing off a protected PC over and over. Come on—from a company called Panda!

Titanium was a solid antivirus program, almost like an Abrams battle tank because it was solid and a Reviewer's Choice designation.

**From gold to silver**

Symantec's Norton AntiVirus has been the gold standard in protection for years, and Norton's reputation is well earned.

Setup took longer than with the Panda software and had a few notable glitches. You should expect some hiccups during running.

And if you live in Washington, where the GCN Lab is located, you probably resent taxation without representation. You can't select Washington as your area. The District of Columbia is manually filled in that field. Some government registrants therefore must contact the company.

Once installed, the Norton program was unobtrusive and had the same clean interface as previous versions.

Scan time also was good, at seven minutes, 47 seconds, somewhat slower than Panda. Also, Panda did on the same system. This did not affect virus-stopping performance, but it did take longer.

Norton 2004 would be a good choice for most users, although it was outclassed slightly by Panda's designation.

The biggest surprise was Global Hauri's ViRobot Expert. Last year, ViRobot got the lowest score because of the tiny number of files scanned and the prolonged download and installation time.

That's all in the past. Any government user who wants a solid, inexpensive antivirus program should look at the General Services Administration schedule.

The interface is easy to learn, without the usual distracting bells and whistles. The most difficult part requires searching the manual. But after one minute, 54 seconds, installation was complete.

With a slight increase in installation time, we could scan the computer for bugs before beginning. Legacy and repurposed systems are common. Use the prescan feature to make sure a foreign suspect the system is already infected before you begin.

When updating the program, we noticed there was little to download—2M or so—and it took a while. It provided detailed reports after every major update or system scan. For example, it told us how long to tell whether you're updating too often or too infrequently.

The easy-to-find system scan button let us know how long a scan would take via a meter at the top of the programs in the review to give this valuable information.

We found three negatives. First, the ViRobot Resident Scan and the ViRobot Expert names are confusing. The monitoring program, whereas the Expert manages operations such as system scans and updates.

Second, Global Hauri should add an automatic setup function after installation. The other products do this, so who might finish the installation and feel protected when in fact ViRobot is not yet operating.

The third minor change we'd like to see in the next version of ViRobot is more detail on the system scan. We've seen, but it could be improved.

Although ViRobot killed the viruses in the lab's bug petting zoo, it only scanned an average of 20,000 files. It could be more comprehensive. A hacker who knew which files were excluded could perhaps find a way to bypass the scan.

The scanning engine was a little slow, covering the 20,821 files in an average eight minutes, 35 seconds.

the Buck designation. It kills bugs, not wallets.

Network Associates' McAfee VirusScan 2004 8.0 put so many alarms and alerts on the main menu that users were convinced they are infected with every known virus. This is no program for hypochondriacs.

Network Associates placed ads for free trial versions of its software next to every threat and it is difficult to perform essential tasks such as scans, but it also would scare users into thinking about buying a new product.

The main menu has five toolbars to describe the level of security on a scale of one to 10. The Security Index and My Antivirus Index, are the only ones users can control. The other three remain at default settings for Network Associates' antihacker, antispy and antiadware programs.

On the left side of the menu window are tabs that lead to uniform resource locators for buying software. The tabs are distasteful.

McAfee further disturbs the user with audio and visual pop-ups that appear out of the right side of the window. The pop-ups are worms and viruses.

We could not figure out why they should appear, or why they must trigger a whistle when the upper right side of the VirusScan main menu. What's worse, we couldn't turn off the pop-up system as it was continually catching a virus.

Panda has pop-ups, too, but without the disturbing sounds and with an obvious icon for turning them off.

On the plus side, installation was simple and led us directly to setup, which ViRobot didn't do.

### **A bit confusing**

To update the VirusScan engine, we had to register and create a profile with a user name and password before we could use it after the installation. That was confusing because VirusScan for some reason rebooted a second time.

We had to update again and go through another reboot to get the first new virus profiles. This was a long registration process.

Without all these hoops to jump through, the software took about 55 seconds to update. With all the hoops, it took 10 minutes.

VirusScan detected and quarantined all the bugs in our zoo and was pretty thorough at scanning. However, averaging 10 minutes, 18 seconds per scan.

McAfee should clean up its interface, drop the scare tactics or at least make them easy to disable.

We gave McAfee AntiVirus 2004 a C because it did what it was supposed to do—but the other products did it better.

## **Marketplace**

---

Products and services from our sponsors

### **|| [Anti-Spam for Government](#)**

Stop spam from reaching your network. MessageLabs is the global leader in managing spam.

### **|| [MicroStrategy Business Intelligence Software for the Enterprise](#)**

Learn how MicroStrategy's easy-to-use BI platform can improve your advanced business intelligence. Download Our Award-Winning Software for 30-Days!

### **|| [Security Within \(TM\) - Configuration based Security](#)**

Good IT security practice requires more than anti-virus and firewall systems. Click here to learn about Configuration based Security, which describes the reasons for a configuration-based IT security systems, such as anti-virus and firewalls.

### **|| [Software License control, Windows XP/2000 Upgrades](#)**

Use your Intranet to manage Software Licenses, plan for Windows XP/2000 upgrades. Download our white paper - PC Management for the Internet Age.

### **|| [FREE SPAM GUIDE for Network Administrators](#)**

"Prevent unsolicited email from penetrating your network. Find out what methods are used by spammers. Download our Network Administrators Guide to Spam, a free White Paper for industry experts."